

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,445	10/13/2000	Charles Lee Asplin	ASPL-007	1343
759	90 09/23/2002			
Curtis V. Harr			EXAMINER	
Registered Patent Attorney P. O. Box 2842			ADDIE, RAYMOND W	
Fargo, ND 58108-2842			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	3671
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/687,445	ASPLIN, CHARLES LEE / // /
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raymond W. Addie	3671
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON	NTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH:	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	<u>lune 2002</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowations closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matter Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 7-21 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>7-21</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		lication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ceived in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has bee	n received.
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	uc priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 120 anu/or 121.
Attachment(s)	4) N Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Line 12, the phrase "delivering said sand and said slab to be leveled" should be

--delivering said sand through said slab to be leveled--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7-11, 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 7, lines 14-15 recite

"gun nozzle having a threaded end for connection with said drilled hole so as to create a substantially fluid tight connection with said drilled hole".

Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,445

Art Unit: 3671

The omitted structural cooperative relationships are:

related to how a threaded nozzle is being connected to the drilled hole.

Does the drilled hole have corresponding threads? If not how is a fluid tight connection made "substantially fluid tight"? Wouldn't the threads reduce the diameter of the nozzle, thereby making the connection less fluid tight?

For examination purposes, the limitation is not seen to require corresponding threads in the drilled hole.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "said sand shutoff valve" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: providing a sand shutoff valve.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 12, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wildon # 5,558,474 in view of Poulter # 1,915,032.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,445

Art Unit: 3671

Wildon discloses an apparatus for discharging sand under pressure. Said apparatus comprising:

A sand storage tank (11) having a sand outlet (12).

A compressed air source (such as a compressor driven by an internal combustion engine).

A mixing chamber (17/14a/13) connected to the sand outlet and compressed air source.

An elongate air and sand delivery line (14) connected to said mixing chamber.

An injector gun having a valve (21) and a gun nozzle for the delivery of sand/air mixture.

Wildon does not disclose the use of a threaded nozzle for connection with the concrete

slab. However, Poulter teaches a method and means for correcting sunken pavement.

Said means comprising a sand pump (4) and injection means (3), in the form of a

threaded nozzle. Said nozzle being connectable, in a fluid tight manner with a drilled

hole (2) in the pavement, via a correspondingly threaded sleeve (3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the sand blaster of Wildon, with a threaded nozzle, as taught by Poulter, in order to inject a well known fill material into a subgrade thereby raising a sunken pavement. See Poulter col. 2; fig. 1.

In regards to Claims 12, 17 Wildon discloses a method of dispensing a fill material, such as sand, under pressure. Said method comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 09/687,445

Art Unit: 3671

Supplying a storage tank (11), filled with a well dried sand. Said tank having an outlet.

Supplying a compressed air source in fluid tight connection with said outlet.

Mixing a well known fill material with said compressed air.

Delivering said sand/air mixture to an injector gun (15), having a nozzle,

via an elongate hose (14).

Drilling a hole in said slab to be leveled or raised.

Attaching said gun nozzle to said drilled hole via a hose (24).

What Wildon does not disclose is using the apparatus to raise a sunken section of pavement. However, Poulter teaches a method of raising a sunken pavement comprising the steps of:

Drilling a hole (2) through a slab (1) to be raised.

Attaching said gun nozzle to said drilled hole.

Operating said injector gun in bursts so as to provide compressed air sufficient to temporarily lift said slab and deliver a quantity of sand to permanently fills under said raised slab. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the method of dispensing sand of Wildon, with the method steps of supplying a sand/air mixture through an elongate hose and gun nozzle, through a sunken section of pavement, as taught by Poulter, in order to raise a sunken pavement. See Poulter col. col. 2, lines 50-col. 3, line 38.

In regards to Claim 18 Wildon discloses the step of adjusting a sand shutoff valve so as to control the flow of sand to the mixing chamber. See col. 2, lines 22-40.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/687,445

Art Unit: 3671

In regards to claim 19 Poulter teaches the step of drill a 2nd strategically placed hole in said slab. Moving said gun nozzle to said second hole and repeating said operating step. See col. 2, line 90-col. 3, line 43.

In regards to claim 20 Poulter teaches the step of patching said holes (2) with a plug (5).

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wildon in view of Poulter # 1,915,032, as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Carey-Yard # 4,850,752.

Wildon in view of Poulter disclose essentially all that is claimed except for a mixing chamber comprising a small diameter hose fitted inside a sand outlet.

However, Carey-Yard teaches a stone-blowing tool (10) comprising: a smaller diameter hose (12) for supplying compressed air fitted inside a larger diameter stone outlet (14), thereby creating a "Venturi effect". Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill, in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the sand delivery system of Wildon in view of Poulter, with the mixing chamber as taught by Carey-Yard, in order to facilitate delivery of the sand via a vacuum created under a "Venturi effect".

Art Unit: 3671

5. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wildon in view of Poulter and Carey-Yard, as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Casella # 5,974,611.

Wildon discloses the use of a plurality of flow control (shutoff) valves (13, 18, 21) throughout the sand dispensing system. Casella discloses the use of a plurality of pressure relief valves/venturi system (46, 47). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the sand discharging system of Wildon in view of Poulter and Carey-Yard, with a plurality of pressure relief valves and/or a venturi system, as taught by Casella, in order to prevent over-pressurization of the system.

In regards to Claim 11, Wildon discloses the use of a high volume air compressor.

6. Claims 13-16, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wildon in view of Poulter 1,915,032 as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Feldsted # 4,466,760.

Poulter in view of Wildon discloses essentially all that is claimed except for a pressure relief valve disposed between a compressed air source and a sand outlet of a sand storage tank. However, Feldsted teaches a mobile material handler (01). Said apparatus comprising a compressed air supply line (24) having a relief valve (not shown) disposed between an air compressor and a dry material storage tank and a venturi assembly (40) to prevent overpressure within the storage vessel (34).

Art Unit: 3671

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the method of raising and lifting a slab of Poulter in view of Wildon, with a pressure relief valve as taught by Feldsted, in order to ensure the pressure within the storage vessel does not exceed a predetermined pressure.

See Feldsted col. 3, lines 52-60; col. 4, lines 25-29.

In regards to Claims 15, 16 Wildon teaches supplying a sand shutoff valve (13) between said sand storage tank (11) and said mixing chamber (14a/17) and the step of adjusting said sand shutoff valve so as to control the flow of sand to said mixing chamber. See col. 2, lines 10-24.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3671

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Peters # 2,007,457 discloses a method and apparatus for raising sunken pavement.

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/687,445

Art Unit: 3671

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Addie whose telephone number is (703) 305-0135. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 6:30 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will, can be reached on (703) 308-3870. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

/ Thomas B. Will Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600

RWA 9/15/2002